Single Bench (9)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH AT

BILASPUR

W. P. NO. 412 / 2004

P.R. No. 9470 Dated 29/9/04
Presented by Shri Sunil Dubey

Petitioner : Irshad Ahmad, Aged about 40 years, S/o Shri Mumtaz Ahmad, Occupation Service, Posted as Nayab Tahsildar in Dharima Circle, Tahsil Ambikapur, Dist : Surguja-(C.G.)

Versus

Respondents :1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through The Secretary Revenue Department, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur, Dist : Raipur-(C.G.)

2. The Dy.Secretary, Revenue Department, Raipur-(C.G.) Dist : Raipur-(C.G.)

3. The Collector Surguja, Chhattisgarh.

4. The Sub Divisional Officer (Revenue), Ambikapur, Dist : Surguja-(C.G.)

PETITIONER'S COPY 29/9/04 Check A. G. Bilaspur

WRIT PETITION U/A 226 / 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN NATURE OF MANDAMUS, CERTIORARI AND / OR ANY OTHER WRIT OF LIKE NATURE.

...under :

XI-HC—78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक WP 4121/04 सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश

01-11-2004

On mention being made the case is taken up.

Shri Sushil Dubey, Counsel for the petitioner.

Shri V.V.S. Murthy, Dy. Advocate General for the State.

Application for urgent hearing is allowed.

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the matter relates to transfer of the petitioner. He further submits that the petitioner has been transferred three times in four months and copies of transfer orders are Annexure – P/1, P/2 and P/3.

Shri Murthy on the other hand submits that for the present the petitioner may be given an opportunity of filing the detailed representation before the competent authority of the respondents, which shall be considered on its own merits in accordance with law.

Having considered the facts and circumstances and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, in the opinion of this Court, ends of justice will be served if a direction is given to the petitioner to make a detailed representation raising his grievances before the competent authority of the respondents along with a copy of the petition and this order, within 10 days, and on such representation being filed, the competent authority of the respondents shall decide the same on its own merits in accordance with law by a reasoned order as early as possible preferably within six weeks from the date of receipt of the representation. It is made clear that if any grievance still remains, the petitioner may avail the remedy available under the law.

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक WP 4121/04 सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has not been relieved so far. He prays for stay till the representation is decided. In view of the facts and circumstances of the case, it is directed that till the representation is decided, if the petitioner is not relieved, he be allowed to continue. With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands disposed of. Consequently M.W.P.No.4493/04 also stands disposed of. Certified copy as per rules. Sd/- Fakhruddin Judge	

Gowri

16/11/2004